United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

۱/

Dated: February 13, 2013

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

JAN	IES	ALLEN HALL	Case Number: 1:13-CR-30
requi	In ac	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, detention of the defendant pending	= 18 U.S.C.§3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts g trial in this case.
			Part I - Findings of Fact
	(1)	The defendant is charged with a offense) (state or local offense that existed) that is	an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal at would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had
		a crime of violence as defin	ed in 18 U.S.C.§3156(a)(4).
		an offense for which the ma	aximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
		an offense for which the m	aximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or	l after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 r comparable state or local offenses.
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1 offense.) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	(3)		s has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for).
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) estab	erson(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this
			Alternate Findings (A)
X	(1)		e that the defendant has committed an offense
		for which a maximum term under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).	of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq
X	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the reasonably assure the appearance	ne presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will be of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the de	Alternate Findings (B) fendant will not appear.
X	(2)		fendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
			e resident of Kalamazoo, where his mother and three siblings reside. Defendant also has ble Perry, as well as another 15-year-old child with Amanda Phillips.
		a half year ago. Interestingly, he	oloyment record. He told Pretrial Services that he was laid off from Big Moe's BBQ nearly did not mention that he operated his own barbecue grill for nine months, also during 2012, y speaking with his girlfriend. For over 15 years, defendant (continued on attachment)
		Part II - Wr	itten Statement of Reasons for Detention
that	the c	redible testimony and information	on submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that
ontact istoric	ts in t cally,	the Kalamazoo area, he has fail family ties have not generally b	rill assure the safety of the community. Notwithstanding defendant's family led to rebut the presumption arising from his pending cocaine conspiracy charge. een a decisive factor on the issue of detention, although it is certainly a fact the example of why Congress did not feel (continued on attachment)
		Part	III - Directions Regarding Detention
cility s fenda on re	separ ant sha guest	ate, to the extent practicable, fror all be afforded a reasonable opport t of an attorney for the Governmer	of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a correction persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The tunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United State at, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United ce in connection with a court proceeding.

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. §955a).

/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.

Signature of Judicial Officer

Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

United States v. **JAMES ALLEN HALL** 1:13-CR-30

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Page 2.

Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)

used marijuana, but states he only used it one time during the past two years. Coincidentally, it was four days prior to the Pretrial Services report.

Defendant has a string of violations, and while only one resulted in a prison sentence (3 to 30 years incarceration for possession), the evidence shows a total disregard for the law. Defendant has 10 convictions for driving on a suspended license or driving while impaired (one was for operating a motor vehicle without security). He has also failed to appear for court on six different occasions. He has also failed to abide by his probation and/or parole obligations. On March 29, 2000, defendant was placed on probation for 3 months but managed to stretch the probation into the following year for various violations. Probation was finally terminated on January 19, 2001, after defendant had been charged with a new offense, possession of marijuana. Defendant was also on parole following his 3 to 30 year sentence for possession, when he was violated for drinking and driving. He was ultimately discharged from parole on the drug offense on 8/18/2010. Just two days later he was charged with, and ultimately convicted of, receiving and concealing stolen property.

There is currently a warrant outstanding for his arrest in Kalamazoo County for possession of marijuana, which charge is unrelated to the present charge.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)

family ties were decisive. Here, notwithstanding that defendant, age 34, has been surrounded by his mother, siblings, girlfriends and children, during his entire adulthood, he has during that same period been for the most part unemployed, been a substantial drug user, been convicted of 14 different criminal offenses, and failed to appear for proceedings a half-dozen times. He has also not been successful on parole or probation. Thus, his family ties have failed to provide him any meaningful support.

(Even absent the presumption, the government has shown by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will assure the safety of the community from the defendant's established pattern of endangering the community by illegally driving on the open road without a license and sometimes while impaired.)